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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study is to review the published literature on the effectiveness of high-intensity interval training (HIIT) interventions on cardiorespiratory fitness and body composition in preadolescents. Method: The databases used in the study were: PubMed, SPORTDiscus and Google Scholar. We included studies published from 2005 to 2016. The included studies consider: children, examined health-related fitness outcomes, involved an intervention of ≥2 weeks, included a control or moderate intensity comparison group, or both, and prescribed high intensity activity. Results: HIIT was associated with improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness in 9 of the 10 studies. In body composition, the improvements were less evident; on body mass index (2 studies), skinfolds (1 study) and on the percentage of body fat (4 studies). Conclusion: HIIT in preadolescents could be an efficient approach for improving cardiorespiratory fitness; nevertheless, its effect on body composition is still unclear.
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EFECTIVIDAD DEL ENTRENAMIENTO INTERVÁLICO DE ALTA INTENSIDAD SOBRE LA APTITUD CARDIORRESPIRATORIA Y LA COMPOSICIÓN CORPORAL EN PREADOLESCENTES: UNA REVISIÓN SISTEMÁTICA

RESUMEN

Objetivo: Revisar la literatura publicada acerca de los efectos del entrenamiento interválico de alta intensidad (HIIT) sobre la aptitud cardiorrespiratoria y la composición corporal en preadolescentes. Método: Las bases de datos utilizadas en el estudio fueron: PubMed, SPORTDiscus y Google Académico. Fueron incluidos estudios publicados entre 2005 y 2016. Los estudios consideran: a niños, variables relacionadas con la condición física y la salud, intervenciones ≥ 2 semanas, un grupo control o grupo de entrenamiento comparados con HIIT; prescripción de actividades de alta intensidad. Resultados: Los efectos de HIIT sobre la aptitud cardiorrespiratoria son mostrados en 9 de los 10 estudios. En la composición corporal los efectos fueron menores; sólo tiene efectos sobre el índice de masa corporal (2 estudios), pliegues (1 estudio) y sobre el porcentaje de grasa (4 estudios). Conclusión: HIIT en preadolescentes podría ser efectivo para mejorar la aptitud cardiorrespiratoria; sin embargo, su efecto sobre la composición corporal aún no está claro.
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INTRODUCTION

Physical activity presents multiple health benefits for all age groups, (Langford et al., 2015) it is a key factor in pediatric obesity (Shultz, Anner, & Hills, 2009) and cardiometabolic modifications (Donnelly et al., 1996). Therefore, physical activity during childhood and adolescence is of great importance for the public health of a country. Currently, they represent a key phase of biological and social development, laying the foundations for future adult health (Bassuk & Manson, 2014).

The recommendation of performing physical activity has not been taken into consideration by the population and the main reason is the lack of time (Zabinski, Saelens, Stein, Hayden-Wade, & Wilfley, 2003). Therefore, the effectiveness of alternative forms of physical activity needs to be considered, especially in children and adolescents (Bendiksen et al., 2014; Burgomaster, Heigenhauser, Gibala, & Kirsten, 2006; Gibala, Little, MacDonald, & Hawley, 2012; Pate, 2006). Studies have addressed this lack of time through high-intensity interval training (HIIT) programs, which decreases the required time of exercise in comparison to low intensity programs (Buchan et al., 2011). High-intensity stimuli of HIIT consider rest periods between each series (Baquet & Berthoin, 2003; Gibala et al., 2012). Several studies in adults have reported advantages of HIIT over continuous aerobic exercise at improving aerobic capacity (Keating, Machan, O’Connor, Gerofi, Sainsbury, Caterson & Johnson, 2014; Ramos, Dalleck, Tjonna, Beetham, & Coombes, 2015).

Among children and adolescents, the evidence of HIIT is limited, recent articles report that HIIT is a feasible and time-efficient approach for improving cardiorespiratory fitness and body composition in the adolescent population (Boutcher, 2011; Farah, Ritti-Dias, Balagopal, Hill, & Prado, 2014; Foster et al., 2015). The aim of this systematic review is to analyze published evidence about the effectiveness of HIIT interventions on cardiorespiratory fitness and body composition in preadolescent.

METHOD

Literature search strategy

Articles published between January 1st, 2005 and July 21st, 2016 were analyzed. Systematic survey of the studies was conducted using the following databases PubMed, SPORTDiscus and Scholar Google. The search was conducted between July 17th and 21st, 2016. The PICO keywords used were: Population: [children or childhood or child], Intervention [high-intensity interval training or high-intensity intermittent training or high-intensity interval exercise or high-intensity aerobic training or interval aerobic training]. No comparison or outcome keywords were specified. Studies performed with children aged 6-12 and randomized designs with controlled trials [RCT] and
randomized trials were considered. Moreover, the reference lists were examined to detect studies potentially eligible for inclusion. Only articles in human and written in English were analyzed.

Criteria for inclusion and exclusion

The following inclusion criteria were selected: (a) children (6-12 years old), (b) health-related fitness outcomes (cardiorespiratory fitness and body composition), (c) involved a ≥2 week intervention, (d) included a control or moderate intensity comparison group, and (e) prescribed high-intensity activity (85–95% peak heart rate or 80–120% maximal aerobics speed). Studies focusing on diseases (except obesity), sports and reviews and papers published in conference, dissertations, thesis or in non-peer-reviewed journals were not included for review.

Data extraction

Three independent examiners searched for articles in the databases by analysis of titles, abstracts, and full papers, and assessed eligibility for inclusion of each study. Disagreements were discussed and, if unresolved, a fourth reviewer was consulted to decide whether the study should be included or excluded. Standardized worksheets were designed for data extraction such that the reviewers were able to extract information about the study characteristics, participants, study design, sample, intervention method, study duration, HIIT dose, outcomes, retention rate, instruments, and study results.

Quality of studies and risk of bias

Quality and risk of bias for all 10 studies was assessed using Quality Assessment tool for Quantitative Studies (EPHPP). A risk of bias score was awarded to each study based on item Selection Bias explicitly described. Criteria were added to create an overall risk of bias score: 1 strong (low bias), 2 moderate (moderate bias) and 3 weak (high bias).

RESULTS

Inclusion of studies

The database search yielded 387 articles in total. Of these, 320 were excluded after evaluation of titles, and 5 were duplicates, of the 62 remaining 40 were excluded by abstracts, leaving 22 articles identified as eligible. Of these, 10 studies met all inclusion criteria (Fig. 1).
Characteristics of high-intensity interval training programs

The intervention programs (Table 1) used walking, (Corte de Araujo et al., 2012) some only running (Baquet et al., 2010; Gamelin et al., 2009; Lau et al., 2015; Mucci et al., 2013; Nourry et al., 2005; Rosenkranz, Rosenkranz, Hastmann, & Harms, 2012), cycling (McManus, Cheng, Leung, Yung, & Macfarlane, 2005) and other different games (Lambrick, Westrupp, Kaufmann, Stoner, & Faulkner, 2015; McNarry, Lambrick, Westrupp, & Faulkner, 2015). The protocols used in the studies were heterogeneous: for example, the number of bouts ranged from 1 (Mucci et al., 2013) to 16 (Lau et al., 2015) and the frequency fluctuated from 2 (Corte de Araujo et al., 2012; Lambrick et al., 2015; McNarry et al., 2015; Mucci et al., 2013; Nourry et al., 2005; Rosenkranz et al., 2012) to 3 (Baquet et al., 2010; Gamelin et al., 2009; Lau et al., 2015; McManus et al., 2005) sessions per week. Regarding the duration of the repetitions, were for a period of 5 seconds (Baquet et al., 2010; Gamelin et al., 2009) to 6 minutes (Lambrick et al., 2015). Recovery passive or active was between of 15 seconds (Gamelin et al., 2009; Lau et al., 2015) to 3 minutes (Corte de Araujo et al., 2012; Mucci et al., 2013). Intensity exercise was performed among 100% (Baquet et al., 2010; Gamelin et al., 2009; Lau et al., 2015; Nourry et al., 2005; Rosenkranz...
et al., 2012) and 190% (Baquet et al., 2010; Gamelin et al., 2009) maximum aerobic speed (MAS), among 80% and 86% (Lambrick et al., 2015; McManus et al., 2005) maximal heart rate (MHR). The total time of the session lasted between 6 (Lau et al., 2015) and 60 minutes (Corte de Araujo et al., 2012; McNarry et al., 2015). The duration of the interventions lasted between 6 (McNarry et al., 2015) and 12 weeks (Corte de Araujo et al., 2012) (mean 7.6) (Table 1). Adherence to training programs was not reported. It is not clear the association between protocols and the results found.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Subjects (n) and Age (y)</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Group Size</th>
<th>Modality / Intensity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Recovery</th>
<th>Total time</th>
<th>Intervention duration</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corte de Araujo et al., 2012</td>
<td>39 obese children 8 to 12 years</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Walking/Running 80% peak HR. Walking/Running 100% MAS</td>
<td>2 sessions per week</td>
<td>3 minutes</td>
<td>30-60 minutes</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baquet et al., 2010</td>
<td>63 children 8 to 11 years</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Running 100-190% MAS Running 80-85% MAS</td>
<td>3 sessions per week</td>
<td>15-30 seconds</td>
<td>18-39 minutes</td>
<td>7 weeks</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamelin et al., 2009</td>
<td>28 children 9.5 years</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Running 100-190% MAS</td>
<td>3 sessions per week</td>
<td>15-30 seconds</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>7 weeks</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lau et al., 2015</td>
<td>48 overweight children 10.4 years</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Running 120% MAS Running 100%MAS</td>
<td>3 sessions per week</td>
<td>15 seconds</td>
<td>6-8 minutes</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McManus et al., 2005</td>
<td>35 children 9 to 11 years</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cycling 80-85% MAS Cycling Continuous 75-85% VO2peak</td>
<td>3 sessions per week</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
<td>20 minutes</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNarry et al., 2015</td>
<td>26 obese children 9.3 years</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Running 110-130% MAS Running 100% MAS</td>
<td>2 sessions per week</td>
<td>3 minutes</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucci et al., 2013</td>
<td>24 children 9 to 11 years</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Running 100% MAS</td>
<td>2 sessions per week</td>
<td>3 minutes</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nourry et al., 2005</td>
<td>24 children 9.7 to 10 years</td>
<td>HITT</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Running 100% MAS</td>
<td>2 sessions per week</td>
<td>3 minutes</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenkranz et al., 2012</td>
<td>18 children 7 to 12 years</td>
<td>HITT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Running 100-130% MAS Running 100% MAS</td>
<td>2 sessions per week</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambrick et al., 215</td>
<td>55 children 8 to 10 years</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Games 86% HRmax</td>
<td>2 sessions per week</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
<td>60 minutes</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HIIT: high-intensity interval training, TG: training group, CG: control group, MAS: maximum aerobic speed, HR: Heart rate, VO2peak: oxygen uptake.*
Effects of high-intensity interval training on cardiorespiratory fitness

Cardiorespiratory fitness was determined by tests on treadmill (Baquet et al., 2010; Corte de Araujo et al., 2012; Gamelin et al., 2009; Lambrick et al., 2015; McNarry et al., 2015), cycle ergometer (McManus et al., 2005; Mucci et al., 2013; Nourry et al., 2005; Rosenkranz et al., 2012) or field test (Yo-Yo intermittent test) (Lau et al., 2015).

The studies showed that the group performing high-intensity exercises increased cardiorespiratory fitness (Baquet et al., 2010; Corte de Araujo et al., 2012; Gamelin et al., 2009; Lambrick et al., 2015; McManus et al., 2005; McNarry et al., 2015; Mucci et al., 2013; Nourry et al., 2005; Rosenkranz et al., 2012) and in a single study the HIIT group not present any changes (Table 2) (Lau et al., 2015). As for the results of HIIT and the training group, both groups increased their cardiorespiratory fitness in three studies (Baquet et al., 2010; Gamelin et al., 2009; McManus et al., 2005) and a single study none of the groups presented changes (Lau et al., 2015). The control group in 6 studies, it has been shown to decrease its yield (Baquet et al., 2010; Lambrick et al., 2015; Lau et al., 2015; Mucci et al., 2013; Nourry et al., 2005; Rosenkranz et al., 2012) and in 3 studies there is a slight increase in cardiorespiratory fitness (Gamelin et al., 2009; McManus et al., 2005; McNarry et al., 2015).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Measure Outcome</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Training Group</th>
<th>HIIT</th>
<th>Comparison other groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Corte de Araujo et al., 2012</td>
<td>Cardiorespiratory fitness ml/kg/min</td>
<td>50.6 42.2</td>
<td>50.1 53.6</td>
<td>51.6 54.1</td>
<td>HIIT and the training group increased their cardiorespiratory fitness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baquet et al., 2010</td>
<td>Cardiorespiratory fitness ml/kg/min</td>
<td>10.8 8.5</td>
<td>11.7 11.3</td>
<td>12.1 12.1</td>
<td>HIIT and the training group increased their cardiorespiratory fitness, however the control group reduced it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamelin et al., 2009</td>
<td>Cardiorespiratory fitness ml/kg/min</td>
<td>36.2 36.7</td>
<td>51.6 54.1</td>
<td>+4.8</td>
<td>HIIT increased their cardiorespiratory fitness, however the control group keep it the same.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lau et al., 2015</td>
<td>Cardiorespiratory fitness MAS km/h</td>
<td>10.9 10.8</td>
<td>10.9 10.9</td>
<td>10.9 10.9</td>
<td>Both groups show no changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McManus et al., 2005</td>
<td>Cardiorespiratory fitness ml/kg/min</td>
<td>44.7 45.4</td>
<td>50.7 50.7</td>
<td>+11.4</td>
<td>HIIT, training group and control group increased their cardiorespiratory fitness. Control group has the lowest increase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNarry et al., 2015</td>
<td>Cardiorespiratory fitness ml/kg/min</td>
<td>20.3 20.5</td>
<td>18.1 19.3</td>
<td>+6.6</td>
<td>HIIT increased their cardiorespiratory fitness, however the control group keep it the same.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucci et al., 2013</td>
<td>Cardiorespiratory fitness ml/kg/min</td>
<td>39.77 38.81</td>
<td>39.6 45.1</td>
<td>+13.8</td>
<td>HIIT increased their cardiorespiratory fitness, however the control group reduced it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nourry et al., 2005</td>
<td>Cardiorespiratory fitness ml/kg/min</td>
<td>36.8 36.6</td>
<td>37.4 43.2</td>
<td>+15.5</td>
<td>HIIT increased their cardiorespiratory fitness, however the control group keep it the same.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenkranz et al., 2012</td>
<td>Cardiorespiratory fitness ml/kg/min</td>
<td>33.1 30.4</td>
<td>23.6 29.4</td>
<td>+24.5</td>
<td>HIIT group increased their cardiorespiratory fitness, however the control group reduced it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambrick et al., 2015</td>
<td>Cardiorespiratory fitness ml/kg/min</td>
<td>55.4 54.9</td>
<td>51.4 54.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>HIIT group increased their cardiorespiratory fitness, however the control group reduced it.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HIIT:** high-intensity interval training, *: doses not present numerical results, %: percentage, -: percentage decrease, +: percentage increment
Effects of high-intensity interval training on body composition

Body composition was assessed using three methods: bioelectrical impedance (Corte de Araujo et al., 2012; Lambrick et al., 2015; McNarry et al., 2015). Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) (Rosenkranz et al., 2012) and skinfolds (Lau et al., 2015; Nourry et al., 2005). The other studies only report measurements of body composition with body mass index (BMI) (Baquet et al., 2010; Gamelin et al., 2009; McManus et al., 2005; Mucci et al., 2013), the studies analyzed show that the group that performing high intensity exercises improves indicators of body composition (Corte de Araujo et al., 2012; Lambrick et al., 2015; Lau et al., 2015; McNarry et al., 2015; Nourry et al., 2005; Rosenkranz et al., 2012). In three studies, there was no significant improvement in body composition indexes (Baquet et al., 2010; Gamelin et al., 2009; Mucci et al., 2013). As for the results of HIIT and the training group in an article, none of the groups presented significant modifications (Baquet et al., 2010) in another article both groups improved (Corte de Araujo et al., 2012) and in an article alone HIIT group positively modified the result of the sum of skinfolds (Lau et al., 2015). In relation to the control group in all analyzed articles that present data increase the percentage of fat, body weight or BMI (Baquet et al., 2010; Gamelin et al., 2009; Lambrick et al., 2015; Lau et al., 2015; McNarry et al., 2015; Mucci et al., 2013; Nourry et al., 2005; Rosenkranz et al., 2012) and in a single study decreases their fat percentage (Table 3) (Nourry et al., 2005).
### TABLE 3

*Results body composition.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Measure Outcome</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Training Group</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>HIIT</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pretest Posttest</td>
<td>Pretest Posttest</td>
<td>Pretest Posttest</td>
<td>Pretest Posttest</td>
<td>Comparison other groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corte de Araujo, 2012</td>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30 29 -3.34</td>
<td>32 30 -6.25</td>
<td>Both groups decrease BMI and body fat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body Fat (%)</td>
<td>37 36 -2.71</td>
<td>38 37 -2.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baquet et al., 2010</td>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>18.5 18.2 -1.6</td>
<td>17.9 18.1 +1.1</td>
<td>17.5 17.6 +0.57</td>
<td>Control group presents a slight decrease, Training group increases BMI and body mass, and not changes group HIIT.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>36.2 37 +1.3</td>
<td>33.5 34.7 +3.5</td>
<td>35 35</td>
<td>Both groups present a increase in body mass.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamelin et al., 2009</td>
<td>Body Mass (kg)</td>
<td>36.2 36.7 +1.3</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>35.5 36.4 +2.5</td>
<td>Both groups increase body mass.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lau et al., 2015</td>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.8 25.2 +1.61</td>
<td>22.8 22.9 +0.43</td>
<td>23.7 23.9 +0.84</td>
<td>Both groups present a increase in body mass and sum of skinfolds, training group not changes in BMI but a slight decrease in sum of skinfolds. HIIT shows a small decrease in BMI and a significant decrease in the sum of skinfolds.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum of skinfolds (mm)</td>
<td>56.1 60.4 +7.66</td>
<td>53.9 53.3 -1.12</td>
<td>59.5 51.3 -13.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNarry et al., 2015</td>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>17.0 17.1 +0.5</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>23.7 23.6 -0.4</td>
<td>Both groups do not present change.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nourry et al., 2005</td>
<td>Body Fat (%)</td>
<td>16.4 15.9 -3.1</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>19.0 17.5 -7.9</td>
<td>Control group presents a decrease in body fat and increase in body mass.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>39.0 39.9 +2.3</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>34.6 35.7 +3.1</td>
<td>HIIT shows a decrease in body fat and an increase in body mass.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenkranz et al., 2012</td>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>13.6 18.3 +34.5</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>19.5 18.1 -7.1</td>
<td>Control group presents a increase in BMI and body fat. HIIT shows a decrease in BMI and body fat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body Fat (%)</td>
<td>15.7 21.6 +37.5</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>22.2 20 -9.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucci et al., 2013</td>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>43 44 +2.3</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>33.1 33.8 +2.1</td>
<td>Both groups increase body mass.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambrick et al., 2015</td>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>19.5 19.5</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>20.5 20.5</td>
<td>The control group showed no change in BMI but an increase in body fat. HIIT does not but a slight decrease in body fat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body Fat (%)</td>
<td>23.1 24.3 +5.1</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>25.9 25.1 -3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HIIT: high-intensity interval training, BMI: body mass index, %: percentage, -: percentage decrease, +: percentage increment*
Methodological quality of studies

The overall quality (EPHPP) of the studies analyzed was weak. Seven studies were considered of weak quality (Baquet et al., 2010; Gamelin et al., 2009; Lambrick et al., 2015; Lau et al., 2015; McNarry et al., 2015; Mucci et al., 2013; Nourry et al., 2005) and three moderate (Corte de Araujo et al., 2012; McManus et al., 2005; Rosenkranz et al., 2012). Out of the 10 studies analyzed (EPHPP) in respect of risk of bias, one article was moderate (Corte de Araujo et al., 2012) and nine weak (Baquet et al., 2010; Lambrick, Stoner, Grigg, & Faulkner, 2016).

DISCUSSION

HIIT is based on short bouts of high intensity exercise as an alternative approach to achieve the health benefits delivered when performing physical activity in a short period of time (Burgomaster et al., 2006). HIIT in adolescents can significantly improve cardiorespiratory fitness, BMI, and decrease body fat percentage, in comparison to moderate intensity training and non-training control group conditions (Costigan, Eather, Plotnikoff, Taaffe, & Lubans, 2015). However, in preadolescents, evidence is not clear enough when comparing HIIT to other forms of exercise (Racil et al., 2016). In this review, HIIT programs were consistently associated with an improvement in the cardiorespiratory fitness. On the other hand, body composition results are very inconsistent in BMI and body mass, however body fat shows a decrease in most studies.

Regarding cardiorespiratory fitness, HIIT has the potential to improve cardiorespiratory fitness in preadolescents. For this study, 10 articles were analyzed and 9 of them (Table 2) reported a significant increase in cardiorespiratory fitness (Baquet et al., 2010; Corte de Araujo et al., 2012; Gamelin et al., 2009; Lambrick et al., 2015; McManus et al., 2005; McNarry et al., 2015; Mucci et al., 2013; Nourry et al., 2005; Rosenkranz et al., 2012). Only one did not present a significant increase (Lau et al., 2015). This result is consistent with other reviews (Buchheit & Laursen, 2013; Costigan et al., 2015; Dobbins, Husson, Decorby, & LaRocca, 2013; Garcia-Hermoso et al., 2016). Therefore there is enough evidence to conclude that children and adolescents must engage in vigorous physical activity to improve their cardiorespiratory fitness. HIIT can be accomplished in a short period of time and the results are similar or better on cardiorespiratory fitness compared to traditional endurance training (Dobbins et al., 2013).

The body composition data showed that HIIT, in comparison with other training programs or non-exercise groups (Table 3), can enhance body composition in children (Logan, Harris, Duncan, & Schofield, 2014). Nevertheless, in this review only 3 studies showed a decrease of BMI through
HIIT (Corte de Araujo et al., 2012; Gamelin et al., 2009; McNarry et al., 2015) and one showed a decrease in the sum of skinfolds (Lau et al., 2015). Other studies showed not significant effect in reducing body fat with HIIT (Table 3) (Baquet et al., 2010; Corte de Araujo et al., 2012; Nourry et al., 2005; Rosenkranz et al., 2012). However, 3 studies in HIIT showed a decrease in fat mass percentage (Corte de Araujo et al., 2012; Nourry et al., 2005; Rosenkranz et al., 2012). The effect of HIIT is considerably larger than the effect of other interventions on body composition in young people (Dobbins et al., 2013) but its real effectiveness in preadolescent body composition is still unclear (Garcia-Hermoso et al., 2016).

**Limitations**

The main limitation of the study was that several types of HIIT programs were implemented. In addition, different instruments to perform measurements were used. Although the studies addressed the same topic, the heterogeneity of the variables reduces the strength of the conclusions generated. Other limitations of the study were: not examining cardiometabolic effects of HIIT, not performing meta-analysis, and weak quality of studies.

**Conclusions**

According to the studies analyzed, it is still unclear which HIIT protocol produces benefits for preadolescents’ health. Future research should examine the effects of various HIIT interventions to determine the optimum strategy to produce health benefits. Also, the minimum duration and frequency of HIIT bouts must be determined if it is to be used as an alternative to physical activity recommendations in children. Literature should scientifically determine the intensity, duration of exercise, and rest bouts, as well as total duration of the session and the program. Exercise intensity measurements must also be stated and easily translated to practice by the subjects.

Researches should explicitly show the differences between the HIIT intervention group and the control or traditional endurance-training group, since the scale of HIIT difference to other exercise modalities is of great interest for the public health.
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